

**PARAS PETROFILS LIMITED**  
**VIGIL MECHANISM POLICY**

**1. PREFACE**

- 1.1 The Company believes in the conduct of the affairs of its constituents in a fair and transparent manner by adopting highest standards of professionalism, honesty, integrity and ethical behaviour.
- 1.2 The Company is committed to develop a culture where it is safe for all directors and employees to raise genuine concerns or grievances.
- 1.3 Regulation 22 of SEBI (Listing Obligations & Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 and Section 177(9) of the Companies Act, 2013 read with Rule 7 of the Companies (Meetings of Board and its Powers) Rules, 2014 provides that all listed companies shall establish a vigil mechanism for directors and employees to report their genuine concerns or grievances to the management.
- 1.4 The purpose of this policy is to provide a framework to promote responsible and secure whistle blowing. It protects directors and employees wishing to raise a concern about serious irregularities within the Company.
- 1.5 The policy neither releases directors and employees from their duty of confidentiality in the course of their work, nor is it a route for taking up a grievance about a personal situation.

**2. DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Disciplinary Action" means any action that can be taken on the completion of / during the investigation proceedings including but not limiting to a warning, imposition of fine, suspension from official duties or any such action as is deemed to be fit considering the gravity of the matter.
- 2.2 "Protected Disclosure" means a concern raised by a written communication made in good faith that discloses or demonstrates information that may evidence unethical or improper activity.
- 2.3 "Subject" means a person against or in relation to whom a Protected Disclosure is made or evidence gathered during the course of an investigation.
- 2.4 "Whistle Blower" is someone who makes a Protected Disclosure under this Policy.
- 2.5 "Whistle Officer" or "Committee" means an officer or Committee of persons who is



*Usha Jain*



nominated / appointed to conduct detailed investigation.

- 2.6 "Ombudsperson" will be a Non-Executive Director for the purpose of receiving all complaints under this Policy and ensuring appropriate action. The Chairperson shall have the authority to appoint the Ombudsperson from time to time.

### 3. THE GUIDING PRINCIPLES

- 3.1 To ensure that this Policy is adhered to, and to assure that the concern will be acted upon seriously, the Company will:

- 3.1.1 Ensure that the Whistle Blower and/or the person processing the Protected Disclosure is not victimized for doing so;
- 3.1.2 Treat victimization as a serious matter including initiating disciplinary action on such person/(s);
- 3.1.3 Ensure complete confidentiality.
- 3.1.4 Not attempt to conceal evidence of the Protected Disclosure;
- 3.1.5 Take disciplinary action, if any one destroys or conceals evidence of the Protected Disclosure made/to be made;
- 3.1.6 Provide an opportunity of being heard to the persons involved especially to the Subject;

### 4. COVERAGE OF POLICY

- 4.1 The Policy covers malpractices and events which have taken place/ suspected to take place involving:

- 1. Abuse of authority
- 2. Breach of contract
- 3. Manipulation of company data/records
- 4. Financial irregularities, including fraud, or suspected fraud
- 5. Criminal offence
- 6. Pilferation of confidential/propriety information
- 7. Deliberate violation of law/regulation
- 8. Wastage/misappropriation of company funds/assets
- 9. Any other unethical, biased, favoured, imprudent event

- 4.2 Policy should not be used in place of the Company grievance procedures or be a route





for raising malicious or unfounded allegations against colleagues.

## 5. DISQUALIFICATIONS

- 5.1 While it will be ensured that genuine Whistle Blowers are accorded complete protection from any kind of unfair treatment as herein set out, any abuse of this protection will warrant disciplinary action.
- 5.2 Protection under this Policy would not mean protection from disciplinary action arising out of false or bogus allegations made by a Whistle Blower knowing it to be false or bogus or with a mala fide intention.
- 5.3 Whistle Blowers, who make any Protected Disclosures, which have been subsequently found to be mala fide, frivolous or malicious shall be liable to be prosecuted under Company's Code of Conduct.

## 6. MANNER IN WHICH CONCERN CAN BE RAISED

- 6.1 Directors and employees can make Protected Disclosure to Ombudsperson, as soon as possible but not later than 30 consecutive days after becoming aware of the same.
- 6.2 Whistle Blower is expected to put his/her name to allegations.
- 6.3 If initial enquiries by the Ombudsperson indicate that the concern has no basis, or it is not a matter to be investigation pursued under this Policy, it may be dismissed at this stage and the decision is documented.
- 6.4 Where initial enquiries indicate that further investigation is necessary, this will be carried through either by the Ombudsperson alone, or by a Whistle Officer/Committee nominated by the Ombudsperson for this purpose. The investigation would be conducted in a fair manner, as a neutral fact-finding process and without presumption of guilt. A written report of the findings would be made.
- 6.5 Name of the Whistle Blower shall not be disclosed to the Whistle Officer/Committee.
- 6.6 The Ombudsperson/Whistle Officer/Committee shall:
  - i) Make a detailed written record of the Protected Disclosure. The record will include:
    - a) Facts of the matter
    - b) Whether the same Protected Disclosure was raised previously by anyone, and if so, the outcome thereof;
    - c) Whether any Protected Disclosure was raised previously against the same Subject;





- d) The financial/ otherwise loss which has been incurred / would have been incurred by the Company.
- e) Findings of Ombudsperson/Whistle Officer/Committee;
- f) The recommendations of the Ombudsperson/Whistle Officer/Committee on disciplinary/other action/(s).

ii) The Whistle Officer/Committee shall finalise and submit the report to the Ombudsperson within 15 days of being nominated / appointed.

6.7 On submission of report, the Whistle Officer /Committee shall discuss the matter with Ombudsperson who shall either:

- (i) In case the Protected Disclosure is proved, accept the findings of the Whistle Officer /Committee and take such Disciplinary Action as he may think fit and take preventive measures to avoid re-occurrence of the matter;
- (ii) In case the Protected Disclosure is not proved, extinguish the matter;  
Or
- (iii) Depending upon the seriousness of the matter, Ombudsperson may refer the matter to the Committee of Directors (Whole-time Directors) with proposed disciplinary action/counter measures. The Committee of Directors, if thinks fit, may further refer the matter to the Audit Committee for necessary action with its proposal. In case the Audit Committee thinks that the matter is too serious, it can further place the matter before the Board with its recommendations. The Board may decide the matter as it deems fit.

6.8 In exceptional cases, where the Whistle Blower is not satisfied with the outcome of the investigation and the decision, he/she can make a direct appeal to the Chairperson of the Audit Committee.





## 7. PROTECTION

7.1 No unfair treatment will be meted out to a Whistle Blower by virtue of his/her having reported a Protected Disclosure under this Policy. The Company, as a policy, condemns any kind of discrimination, harassment, victimization or any other unfair employment practice being adopted against Whistle Blower. Complete protection will, therefore, be given to Whistle Blower against any unfair practice like retaliation, threat or intimidation of termination/suspension of service, disciplinary action, transfer, demotion, refusal of promotion, discrimination, any type of harassment, biased behaviour or the like including any direct or indirect use of authority to obstruct the Whistle Blower's right to continue to perform his duties/functions including making further Protected Disclosure. The Company will take steps to minimize difficulties, which the Whistle Blower may experience as a result of making the Protected Disclosure. Thus, if the Whistle Blower is required to give evidence in criminal or disciplinary proceedings, the Company will arrange for the Whistle Blower to receive advice about the procedure, etc.

7.2 The identity of the Whistle Blower shall be kept confidential.

7.3 Any other Employee assisting in the said investigation or furnishing evidence shall also be protected to the same extent as the Whistle Blower.

## 8. SECRECY/CONFIDENTIALITY

The Whistle Blower, the Subject, the Whistle Officer and every one involved in the process shall:

- a. maintain complete confidentiality/ secrecy of the matter
- b. not discuss the matter in any informal/social gatherings/ meetings
- c. discuss only to the extent or with the persons required for the purpose of completing the process and investigations
- d. not keep the papers unattended anywhere at any time
- e. keep the electronic mails/files under password

If any one is found not complying with the above, he/ she shall be held liable for such disciplinary action as is considered fit.

## 9. MANAGEMENT ACTION ON FALSE DISCLOSURES

If a Director/ employee knowingly makes false disclosures under this policy, such employee/ director shall be subject to disciplinary action on the terms deemed fit by the Audit Committee.






## 10. REPORTING

The Ombudsperson shall submit a report to the Chairman of the Audit Committee on a regular basis about all Protected Disclosures referred to him/her since the last report together with the results of investigations, if any.

## 11. AMENDMENT

The policy can be modified only by the Board of Directors of the Company.

For Paras Petrofils Limited  
*Usha Jain*  
(Usha Ashokkumar Jain)  
Director